

# Case Summary - Redcar and Cleveland

**Case no.** SFE-000188  
**Member(s):** Councillor George Dunning  
**Date received:** 17 Mar 2011  
**Date completed:** 07 Jun 2011

## **Allegation:**

That Councillor Dunning lied at a ward meeting about his decision making role in the closure of a local school.

## **Standards Board outcome:**

The ethical standards officer found that the member did not breach the Code of Conduct.

## **Case Summary**

At a ward meeting that was held to discuss the proposed amalgamation of two local schools, Councillor Dunning stated that the decision as to whether the schools would be amalgamated or not was a decision for the Secretary of State for Education to make and not him.

It was alleged that this was a lie. Councillor Dunning was Leader of the Council and the decision whether to amalgamate the two schools was for him and the Council's cabinet to make. It was also alleged that he did not tell the truth at the meeting because it was a heated meeting and he did not want to have the discussion with the people who were in attendance.

The Ethical Standards Officer found that, at the time of the ward meeting, Redcar and Cleveland Council had begun a consultation on the proposed amalgamation. At the same time the Council had applied to the Secretary of State for the two schools to merge to become one academy. One of the two schools had applied in its own right to become an academy without the other school. Consequently, while the decision to press ahead with the proposal to merge the two schools would be taken by the cabinet, the final decision on whether the two schools would merge, would be a matter for the Secretary of State. If the Secretary of State approved the school's solo application, then the Council would not be able to merge the two schools as a joint academy.

The Ethical Standards Officer found that Councillor Dunning had not lied at the ward meeting when he said the decision to merge the schools rested with the Secretary of State. Consequently, as Councillor Dunning had not lied, he had not contravened Paragraph 5 of the Code of Conduct, which states that members must not conduct themselves in a manner which could reasonably be regarded as bringing their office or

authority into disrepute. Councillor Dunning, therefore, did not breach Redcar and Cleveland's Code of Conduct.

## **Relevant paragraphs of the Code of Conduct**

Paragraph 5 of the Code of Conduct, which states that members must not conduct themselves in a manner which could reasonably be regarded as bringing their office or authority into disrepute

**09 June 2011**